

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,429	04/07/2000	Motoichi Watanuki	2309-63810	1093
75	05/16/2003			
Patrick G. Burns, Esq			EXAMINER	
Greer Burns & 9 300 S. Wacker	•		TRINH, MIN	IINH N
Suite 2500 Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0 /			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 🕏					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/545,429	WATANUKI, MOTOICHI			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Trinh	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cov r sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required t final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of th r: (1) a timely filed amendm peal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exports of the shorts (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set er than SIX MONTHS from the mails (AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH e date on which the petition under 3 ktension and the corresponding amore ened statutory period for reply origin	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee bunt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	irther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 second paragraph.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6</u> .		·			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State					

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/545,429

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

In claim 1, lines 5- 12 and claim 2, line 2-3 (amended) the limitations of "cutting said wafer in to a plurality of raw bars";

"supporting said plurality of raw bars by a supporting jig; arranging dummy sections of said plurality of raw bars in a single direction,"

have narrowed the scope of the claims. Therefore new issues that would require further search and/or consideration are raised. It is

noted that rejected claim 1 does not require cutting said wafer into "a plurality of raw bars and supporting them" as required by amended claim 1.

CARL J. ARBES
PRIMARY EXAMINER